

KLAGANDEN

See appendix

TILLSYNSOBJEKT

Klarna Bank AB

Swedish Ref.:
IMY-2024-9906

Danish Ref.:
2024-31-0750 / 2024-7321-
0888

IMI case register:
671064

Date:
2026-06-04

Final decision under the General Data Protection Regulation – Klarna Bank AB

Decision of the Swedish Authority for Privacy Protection

The Swedish Authority for Privacy Protection (IMY) finds that Klarna Bank AB (556737-0431) has processed the complainant's personal data in breach of

- Article 6 of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) ¹ by not having a legal basis for the processing.

IMY issues a reprimand to Klarna pursuant to Article 58(2)(b) of the GDPR for the infringement found.

Presentation of the supervisory case

Handling of the case

The Swedish Authority for Privacy Protection (IMY) has initiated supervision regarding Klarna Bank AB (Klarna or the company) in order to investigate whether Klarna has had a legal basis for the processing of the complainant's personal data.

The complaint has been handed over from the supervisory authority of the country where the complaint has been lodged (Denmark) in accordance with the provisions of the GDPR on cooperation in cross-border processing. IMY has handled the complaint as the lead supervisory authority pursuant to Article 56 of the GDPR.

The case has been handled through written procedure. In light of the complaint relating to cross-border processing, IMY has used the mechanisms for cooperation and consistency contained in Chapter VII of the GDPR. The concerned supervisory authorities have been the data protection authorities in Denmark, Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg, France, Italy, Norway, Ireland, Austria, Germany, Finland and Spain.

Postadress:
Box 8114
104 20 Stockholm

Webbplats:
www.imy.se

E-post:
imy@imy.se

Telefon:
08-657 61 00

¹ Regulation (EU) 2016/679 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation).

The supervision has been initiated in order to investigate whether Klarna has a legal basis for the processing of the complainant's personal data (article 6 GDPR) in their previously existing function for automatic data entry². Therefore, IMY has not investigated Klarna's processing of personal data in general in relation to this function.

IMY has investigated the same function for automatic data entry in two previous supervisions³. In those cases, IMY investigated the lawfulness of the processing (Article 6 GDPR), the information to data subjects (Article 12(1) and 13(1)(c) GDPR) and the security of the processing (Article 32 GDPR). In the latter case, IMY gave Klarna a reprimand for, inter alia, the lack of a legal basis. Communication of previous correspondence in IMY's supervision with case number IMY-2022-2507 has therefore also taken place in the context of the current supervisory case.

What the complainant has stated

The complainant states, in essence, the following. The complainant made an online purchase from a supplier which allegedly used Klarna as a payment service. When the complainant filled in his email and postal code, additional information about him was automatically filled in. Automatic filling in of the complainant's information could also be replicated via third parties. The complainant has not used Klarna's payment solution before the problem was brought to their attention.

The complainant was given the opportunity to comment on Klarna's reply but did not return with any comments.

What Klarna has stated

Klarna is the data controller for the processing in question

Klarna is the data controller for the processing that is the subject of the complaint.

What the complainant stated about not being a customer of Klarna is not true. The complainant is a customer and has made a number of purchases through Klarna. The complainant became a customer on 11 September 2020.

As of 14 February 2025, Klarna no longer provides the function for automatic filling of information.

Klarna Shopping Service and Klarna Checkout

Automatic filling of information constitutes a function of the Service. It is very important for Klarna that the purchase and payment process is as simple, smooth and easily accessible as possible. One way for Klarna to ensure a smooth shopping experience for their consumers is through the features included in the Service. *Automatic filling of information* is one of several features included in the Service and helps to provide a smoother shopping experience by eliminating the need for customers to fill in their contact and delivery information every time they shop with Klarna.

Klarna Checkout ("KCO") is Klarna's complete checkout solution and contains all payment methods from Klarna. When a customer chooses the payment method *Klarna* in KCO, the customer is offered to accept the terms and conditions of Klarna's Shopping Service (the "Agreement") in connection with their purchase. The customer

² In this document, the feature is also referred to as automatic filling of personal data, automatic filling of information and similar variants. In all these references, it refers to the same function.

³ Decision in case DI-790-2017 and decision in case IMY-2022-2507, reference in IMI A60FD 832410.

always has the opportunity to choose to pay directly by card in KCO without accepting the agreement.

The function for automatic filling of information

Klarna is used by 150 million consumers globally and automatic filling of information is an appreciated feature that customers use and expect to get delivered from Klarna. Access and activation of the function takes place for the first time when the consumer accepts the agreement in connection with a purchase via the payment method *Klarna* in KCO in which the consumer enters their contact details in the address form for the first time, or when the consumer logs in for the first time in the Klarna app and in connection with this accepts the agreement. The consumer can turn off the function at any time either directly in the address form in KCO or via settings in the Klarna app.

Automatic filling of information makes it easier for the consumer by not having to re-enter their contact and delivery details with each purchase. The automatic filling of information is one of the most appreciated functions among Klarna's customers.

Klarna users have the opportunity to control and customize their shopping experience with Klarna. For example, the customer can choose to make purchases with Klarna without their information being automatically filled in by Klarna. If the customer makes their purchases in KCO without using the Service and accepting the agreement in connection with the purchase, e.g. by paying by card in KCO instead of clicking on *Klarna* and using any of the payment options provided there, the function is never activated.

A customer who has accepted the agreement also has the opportunity to customize or disable functions included in the Service. For example, the customer can choose to turn off automatic filling of information on their own or with the help of Klarna's customer service. The customer can also choose to no longer use any of the functions of the Service by terminating the agreement and then choose to pay directly by card in KCO, after which the customer's information will no longer be automatically filled in the address form.

The personal data processed in connection with Klarna delivering the function in the address form in KCO is name, e-mail address, telephone number, address and either national identification number or date of birth (depending on the market). The personal data is saved when the customer accepts the agreement for the first time and fills in their contact details in the address form in KCO, in which case the function is activated for the customer's future purchases.

The function can be delivered in two ways. The customer can enter limited contact information to themselves in the address form (e.g. e-mail address and postal code) after which Klarna automatically fills in the remaining information. It can also be delivered by automatic recognition via a cookie that Klarna places on the consumer's device. Delivery of automatic recognition via this cookie can only take place as long as the cookie remains on the device.

Legal basis

The legal basis for the processing of personal data for the delivery of automated filling of information is performance of a contract pursuant to Article 6(1)(b) GDPR.

The entering of a service agreement for the Service between the customer and Klarna means that Klarna has undertaken to deliver a service in accordance with the

description set out in the terms and conditions of the Service. Section 1 of the Terms and Conditions states that Klarna automatically fills in information in the address form after the customer has filled in limited information, including the following.

When you use Klarna, we may ask for information such as name, address, telephone number, e-mail address, date of birth and/or social security number, as well as other information if applicable. We will store this information in our systems, and when you return to us or use the Service or our payment services, you only need to provide some of this information, such as your e-mail address and postal code or social security number (depending on the country), in order for us to fill in the remaining fields with your other information.

The function only fills in information provided by the consumer themselves. Klarna does not display additional personal data beyond those already provided by the consumer and requested to be filled in automatically. In addition, the customer already has the possibility to turn off the function when approving the agreement. No personal data is automatically filled in by Klarna in cases where the customer has turned off the function, never activated it, not accepted the agreement or terminated the agreement at a later stage.

The contract is made available when making a purchase with *Klarna* in KCO, on Klarna's website and via a link to *Autofill settings* in the address form. In addition, by clicking on the link to the settings, the customer can easily customize the auto-fill function, for example, choose to disable the function completely.

Klarna has assessed that the processing of personal data that takes place in order to provide the function is necessary for Klarna to be able to fulfil the contract with the consumer.

The specific purpose of the processing of personal data for the automatic filling of information function is to enable consumers to save time when buying online by entrusting Klarna with filling in the consumer's personal data in the address form in KCO.

Klarna provides the Service (including the automatic filling of information) only to consumers who have accepted the terms and conditions of the Service. In order to avoid that the consumer is faced with an 'all or nothing' situation, Klarna has made it possible for the consumer to customize Klarna's Shopping Service to their needs. The consumer can therefore disable the function for automatic filling of information. This choice can either be made when the consumer first accepts the terms of the Service, or at a later time. If the consumer deactivates the function, Klarna will not process the consumer's personal data for this purpose.

Since the consumer can customize the functions of the Service to their liking, e.g. by disabling the automatic filling of information function, Klarna has considered the function as a stand-alone service within the scope of the Service.

In its ongoing data protection work, Klarna has taken into account the European Data Protection Board (EDPB) Guidelines 2/2019 when selecting contracts as the appropriate legal basis for the processing of personal data for the automatic filling of information function. It follows, inter alia, from those guidelines that, where a contract consists of several distinct services or parts of a service that can reasonably be performed independently of each other, the application of Article 6(1)(b) of the GDPR

must be assessed in the light of each of those functions/services separately. This assessment must then be made by examining what is objectively necessary to perform the individual function/service requested by the consumer.⁴

In the light of these guidelines, Klarna has assessed the applicability of Article 6(1)(b) GDPR to the automatic filling function separately. Klarna has thus considered that the processing of personal data carried out in order to provide the function of automatic filling of information is objectively necessary in order for that function to be offered to consumers. The function is not possible to provide without processing the personal data. Thus, the processing of personal data is a particularly integral part of the automatic filling of information function and the provision of that function is in the interest of both parties, since otherwise that function would have been impossible to provide and the contract could not have been performed.

In assessing the most appropriate legal basis for the data processing, Klarna has also considered the impact of the legal basis on the rights of consumers as data subjects and their reasonable expectations. Klarna's view is that the chosen legal basis gives the consumer great control over the processing of their personal data. This is because the processing takes place exclusively at the consumer's own request and because Klarna provides easily accessible mechanisms that guarantee that the consumer can deactivate the function at any time and thus no longer be subject to personal data processing for automatic filling of information. In Klarna's opinion, it strengthens the legitimacy of Klarna's processing of personal data for the function automatic filling of information. Klarna's view is also that the processing meets the average customer's reasonable expectations.

This is because Klarna provides the consumer with easily accessible and clear information both in connection with the acceptance of the terms and conditions of the Service, as well as at every time the consumer purchases online and automatic filling of information takes place in the address form in KCO.

Klarna is of the opinion that a number of legal bases in Article 6(1) of the GDPR could be possible legal bases for the processing of personal data for the function of automatic filling of information. However, Klarna's assessment is, and has always been, that the most appropriate legal basis is Article 6(1)(b) GDPR.

The Complainant is an active user of the Service. This means that the complainant has accepted the agreement and has not turned off the automatic filling of information or terminated the agreement with Klarna.

⁴ EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 37, translation, original: 'Where the contract consists of several separate services or elements of a service that can in fact reasonably be performed independently of one another, the question raised to which extent Article 6(1)(b) can serve as a legal basis. The applicability of Article 6(1)(b) should be assessed in the context of each of those services separately, looking at what is objectively necessary to perform each of the individual services which the data subject has requested or signed up for.'

Motivation for the decision

Applicable provisions

It follows from Article 57 (1)(f) that IMY shall process complaint about incorrect processing of personal data and, where appropriate, investigate the subject matter of the complaint.

It follows from Article 6(1)(b) of the GDPR that processing is lawful only if and to the extent that processing is necessary for the performance of a contract to which the data subject is party, or for taking steps at the request of the data subject prior to the conclusion of such a contract.

'Necessary' is an autonomous concept of EU law. According to the European Court of Justice (CJEU), the notion of 'necessity' must be interpreted and applied in such a way that it fulfils the purpose of data protection legislation.⁵ In that regard, the CJEU has held, in particular, that exceptions and limitations to the protection of personal data must be limited to what is strictly necessary.⁶ The CJEU has also held that, in order for the controller to be able to support processing on the basis of Article 6(1)(b) of the GDPR, the processing must be objectively indispensable in order to achieve a purpose which constitutes an essential element of the service intended for the data subject. The controller must be able to demonstrate how the main purpose of the contract could not be achieved without the processing in question. Processing must be strictly necessary in order to be able to rely on Article 6(1)(b) and it is irrelevant in that regard that processing is useful for the performance of a contract.⁷ The notion of 'necessary' must therefore be interpreted restrictively when assessing whether contract can be used as a legal basis for the processing of personal data.

The Article 29 Working Party, now known as the European Data Protection Board (EDPB), also advocates for a restrictive interpretation of the term 'necessary' in its guidance. The notion shall not cover situations in which the processing of personal data of the data subject is carried out as a result of a unilateral decision of the controller, only such processing as is strictly necessary for the performance of a contract.⁸ The EDPB further emphasizes that there is a clear link between the question of whether processing is necessary and the question of whether processing complies with the principle of purpose limitation in Article 5(1)(b) of GDPR. It is therefore important to determine the precise purpose of the agreement, i.e. the subject-matter of the agreement and its underlying purpose. This is because they shall form the basis for the assessment of whether the processing of the personal data is necessary for the performance of a contract.⁹ The 'necessary for the performance' of a contract is a higher requirement than the mere existence of a contractual clause.¹⁰ Processing that is useful but objectively not necessary for the performance of a service cannot be regarded as falling within the scope of Article 6(1)(b) of the GDPR, even if it is necessary for the purposes of the other activities of the controller.¹¹

⁵ Case C-524/06, *Heinz Huber v Germany*, EU:C:2008:724, 18 December 2008, p. 52.

⁶ Case C-13/16, *Valsts policijas Rīgas reģiona pārvaldes Kārtības policijas pārvalde v Rīgas pašvaldības SIA 'Rīgas satiksme'*, EU:C:2017:336, 4 May 2017, p. 30.

⁷ Case C-252/21, *Meta Platforms v Bundeskartellamt*, EU:C:2023:537, 4 July 2023, p. 98 and 99.

⁸ WP29 Opinion 06/2014 on the notion of legitimate interest, 844/14/EN WP217, p. 16.

⁹ WP29 Opinion 06/2014 on the notion of legitimate interest, 844/14/EN WP217, p. 17.

¹⁰ EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 27.

¹¹ EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 25.

Data controllers shall also consider the principle of proportionality and consider alternative and less far-reaching interferences with the protection of the privacy of data subjects.¹² If there are realistic and less intrusive options, the processing is not necessary.

The controller must also be able to justify the need for processing by referring to the basic and mutually understood purpose of the contract. That purpose must be understood not only from the perspective of the controller, but also from the perspective of a reasonable data subject when entering the contract, and whether the contract can nevertheless be regarded as 'fulfilled' without the processing in question. Even if the controller considers that the processing is necessary for the purpose of the contract, it is important that the controller carefully examines the perspective of an average data subject to ensure that there is a genuine mutual understanding of the purpose of the contract.¹³

The EDPB has developed a number of conditions in its Guidelines that are intended to, inter alia, assist controllers in determining whether Article 6(1)(b) GDPR applies to a particular processing activity.¹⁴

IMY:s assessment of whether automatic filling of information is a stand-alone service

KCO is a web interface in which an active or prospective user can pay for goods and services on the internet through Klarna's payment services, such as invoice or partial payment.

If a user wants to use any of Klarna's payment services, they are required to enter into a contract regarding the Service. The purpose of the service is to facilitate and simplify purchasing processes on the internet through several functions. One of these functions is the automatic filling of information.

In its statement of 31 October 2022, Klarna stated, as it must be understood, that the automatic filling of information is an independent service in the Service and that the processing of personal data involving automatic filling is objectively necessary in order to be able to provide the function.

Paragraph 37 of EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 states that a specific assessment is required if Article 6(1)(b) GDPR is an applicable legal basis for the processing to provide a specific service, if that service is part of one of several independent services of a larger service.¹⁵

The documentation in the case shows that Klarna, within the framework of the Service, processes personal data to support the use of Klarna's payment options. It is not possible to use any of Klarna's payment options without entering into the contract. It has not been shown in the case that the function has any independent purpose other than to facilitate the use of Klarna's payment options, for example that Klarna offers automatic filling for situations other than the use of Klarna's payment services in KCO.

¹² Joined Cases C-92/09 and C-93/09 Volker und Markus Schecke GbR (C-92/09), Hartmut Eifert (C-93/09) v Land Hessen EU:C:2010:662, 9 November 2010, p. 86.

¹³ EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 32.

¹⁴ EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 33.

¹⁵ See IMY's report of Klarna's statement of 31 October 2022 above, in which Klarna refers to EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of providing online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 37. See also case C-252/21 Meta Platforms v Bundeskartellamt, EU:C:2023:537, p. 100.

IMY does not object to the fact that it in principle is possible to offer a service that generally facilitates and simplifies online purchasing processes. However, in the present case, the function is closely linked to the use of Klarna's payment options in particular.

IMY thus concludes that the function of automatic filling in of personal data is not independent in such a way that it should be assessed separately from the Service.

IMY's assessment of Klarna's legal basis

IMY notes that a user must accept the contractual terms of the Service in order to use any of the payment services provided by Klarna, e.g. payment against invoice or partial payment. When a user accepts the contract, an account is created and a number of functions in the Service are activated, including automatic filling of information. This feature can be disabled but is enabled by default.

Klarna's description of the Service in the agreement states the following.

For Klarna, shopping is not only about finding good things and paying for them - but also about getting a great shopping experience in your favorite store, a state-of-the-art app and more. Put simply: A smooth experience, both before and after a purchase. [...]

The documentation in the case shows that the purpose of the Service is, among other things, to provide features that give Klarna's users a smooth shopping experience. Automatic filling of information is intended to make it easier for users to shop online by automatically filling their contact and delivery information with each subsequent purchase. Klarna creates an account for a prospective user when they use one of Klarna's payment services in KCO for the first time and accept the contract for the Service.¹⁶ Klarna has stated that processing for automatic filling takes place when active users choose to pay with Klarna in KCO.

In this regard, IMY considers that the content and basic purpose of the agreement is to simplify and support the use of Klarna's payment services with the functionalities of the Service. IMY notes that the function in this regard is presented as a condition of the contract, but it is not in itself sufficient for the processing in question to be considered necessary for the performance of the contract.¹⁷

IMY notes that it is possible for Klarna's users to disable the function for automatic filling of personal data and then continue to use the Service. Klarna's active users can thus choose to manually enter their personal data in KCO without automatic filling for future purchases with Klarna's payment services, without affecting the contractual relationship. IMY considers that this freedom of choice is a strong indication that automatic filling constitutes processing which, on the one hand, is useful for Klarna's purpose of providing smooth and easily accessible payment processes, but which, on the other hand, is not objectively necessary for the performance of the contract.¹⁸

¹⁶ The terms and conditions for Klarna's Shopping Service state the following: 'When you use one of our payment services at a store checkout or when you download and log in to Klarna's App, we will create a Klarna User Account for you.'

¹⁷ See Case C-252/21, Meta Platforms v Bundeskartellamt, EU:C:2023:537, 4 July 2023, p. 98 and 99. See also EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 27.

¹⁸ Cf. EDPB Guidelines 2/2019 on the processing of personal data under Article 6(1)(b) GDPR in the context of the provision of online services to data subjects, version 2.0, p. 25.

With regard to the parties' mutual perspectives and expectations, there is the question if an average user of the Service should reasonably expect that automatic filling of information will take place in order for Klarna to be able to deliver the Service.

The documentation in the case shows that *automatic filling in of data* is activated by default at the conclusion of the contract and that activity from the data subject is required to disable the function. Klarna has stated in this regard that the feature is highly appreciated and that many of their customers expect it to be delivered. IMY notes that the complainant in the supervisory case, on the other hand, perceive the function as surprising and intrusive. The complainant's attitude to the processing indicates that, in any event, they had not expected or understood that their personal data would be used for automatic filling when registering an account with Klarna.

IMY notes that Klarna markets its payment services as simple, convenient and easily accessible. IMY does not question that the functions in the Service are also intended to make Klarna's payment services simple, smooth and easily accessible. IMY considers, however, that the marketing does not mean that an average user of Klarna's services should be expected to understand from the marketing that their personal data will be processed to provide various functions, including for automatic filling, as the present complaint also shows. A first-time user of Klarna's payment services may also use the Service without having seen any marketing.

Against this background, IMY makes the assessment that the complainant should not reasonably have been expecting that his personal data would be processed automatically in connection with future purchases with Klarna KCO when entering into the contract for the Service. IMY therefore considers that Klarna's processing of personal data in the function for automatic filling of information is unexpected in relation to what an average reasonable user should have expected from the Service.

Against this background, also noting that the feature is optional and can be disabled¹⁹, IMY concludes that automatic filling in of information is not objectively necessary for the performance of the contract. Therefore, Klarna cannot rely on Article 6(1)(b) of the GDPR for the automatic filling of information.

Klarna has stated that there is no other relevant legal basis for the personal data processing in question. IMY has not identified any other relevant legal basis for the processing. In an overall assessment, IMY concludes that Klarna, by providing the automatic data-filling function, have processed the complainant's personal data in breach of Article 6 of the GDPR.

Choice of corrective measure

Pursuant to Article 58(2)(i) and Article 83(2) of the GDPR, IMY has the power to impose administrative fines in accordance with Article 83. Depending on the circumstances of the case, administrative fines shall be imposed in addition to or instead of the other measures referred to in Article 58(2), such as injunctions and prohibitions. In the case of a minor infringement, IMY may, as stated in recital 148, instead of imposing a fine, issue a reprimand pursuant to Article 58(2)(b). Aggravating and mitigating circumstances of the case need to be taken into consideration, for

¹⁹ Cf. EDPB analysis in Section 5.4.2.1 of Binding Decision 2/2022 on the dispute that has arisen on the IE SA Draft Decision on Meta Platforms Ireland Limited (Instagram) pursuant to Article 65(1)(a) GDPR.

example the nature, gravity and duration of the infringement as well as past infringements of relevance.

With regard to the choice of corrective measure, IMY takes into account, inter alia, the following. The case in question concerns an investigation of Klarna's processing of personal data relating to one data subject. In a decision no. 790-2017 of 19 September 2017, IMY made the assessment that Klarna's personal data processing in KCO was permitted on the legal basis of a contract under Section 10 of the previously enforced Personal Data Act²⁰ (1998:204), inter alia on the grounds that customers could opt out of automatic filling of information. Klarna can thus be considered to have had some justification for its incorrect view that contracts can be used as a legal basis for automatic filling in of information.

IMY has issued a reprimand against Klarna for breaching several provisions, including Article 6, when examining this specific function for automatic filling of information. In addition, Klarna discontinued the use of the function for automatic filling of information on 14 February 2025.

In an overall assessment of the above circumstances, IMY considers that these are minor infringements referred to in recital 148 GDPR, which means that Klarna Bank AB should be given a reprimand under Article 58(2)(b) of the GDPR for the infringements found.

Appendix

The complainant's personal data

Copy to

Data Protection Officer

²⁰ Section 10 of the Personal Data Act states that personal data may only be processed if the data subject has given consent to the processing or if the processing is necessary for one of the reasons set out in the Act. Examples of such reasons are if the processing takes place in order for a contract with the data subject to be performed.

Hur man överklagar

Om ni vill överklaga beslutet ska ni skriva till IMY. Ange i skrivelsen vilket beslut ni överklagar och den ändring som ni begär. Överklagandet ska ha kommit in till IMY inom tre veckor från den dag ni fick del av beslutet. Om ni är en part som företräder det allmänna ska överklagandet dock ha kommit in inom tre veckor från den dag då beslutet meddelades. Om överklagandet har kommit in i rätt tid sänder IMY det vidare till Förvaltningsrätten i Stockholm för prövning.

Ni kan e-posta överklagandet till IMY om det inte innehåller några integritetskänsliga personuppgifter eller uppgifter som kan omfattas av sekretess. Myndighetens kontaktuppgifter framgår av beslutets första sida.