

In the matter of the General Data Protection Regulation

DPC Complaint Reference: [REDACTED]

IMI Reference: [REDACTED]

In the matter of a complaint, lodged by [REDACTED] with the Integritetsskyddsmyndigheten (Sweden DPA) pursuant to Article 77 of the General Data Protection Regulation, concerning MTCH Technology Services Limited

Record of Amicable Resolution of the complaint and its consequent withdrawal pursuant to Section 109(3) of the Data Protection Act, 2018

Further to the requirements of EDPB Guidelines 06/2022 on the practical implementation of amicable settlements Version 2.0 (adopted on 12 May 2022)

**RECORD OF AMICABLE RESOLUTION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF EDPB GUIDELINES 06/2022 ON THE
PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF AMICABLE
SETTLEMENTS VERSION 2.0
(ADOPTED ON 12 MAY 2022)**

Dated the 28th day of March 2025



Data Protection Commission
21 Fitzwilliam Square South
Dublin 2, Ireland

Background

1. On 4 September 2023, [REDACTED] (“the **Data Subject**”) lodged a complaint pursuant to Article 77 of the GDPR with the Integritetsskyddsmyndigheten (“the **Recipient SA**”) concerning MTCH Technology Services Limited (“the **Respondent**”).
2. In circumstances where the Data Protection Commission (“the **DPC**”) was deemed to be the competent authority for the purpose of Article 56(1) of the GDPR, the Recipient SA transferred the complaint to the DPC on 7 February 2024.

The Complaint

3. The details of the complaint were as follows:
 - a. The Data Subject emailed the Respondent on 31 August 2023 to request erasure of their personal data.
 - b. The Respondent replied on 2 September 2023, advising the Data Subject that once an account is closed, it is no longer visible to other users on the platform and that personal data is deleted in accordance with its Privacy Policy. The Data Subject replied the same day, re-iterating their request for erasure of their personal data. The Respondent replied on 3 September 2023, clarifying that personal data is deleted subject to certain legitimate and lawful grounds to retain it.
 - c. As the Data Subject was not satisfied with the response received from the Respondent, they lodged a complaint with the Recipient SA.

Action taken by the DPC

4. The DPC, pursuant to Section 109(4) of the Data Protection Act, 2018 (“the **2018 Act**”), is required, as a preliminary matter, to assess the likelihood of the parties to the complaint reaching, within a reasonable time, an amicable resolution of the subject-matter of the complaint. Where the DPC considers that there is a reasonable likelihood of such an amicable resolution being concluded between the parties, it is empowered, by Section 109(2) of the 2018 Act, to take such steps as it considers appropriate to arrange or facilitate such an amicable resolution.
5. Following a preliminary examination of the material referred to it by the Recipient SA, the DPC considered that there was a reasonable likelihood of the parties concerned reaching, within a reasonable time, an amicable resolution of the subject matter of the complaint. The DPC’s experience is that complaints of this nature are particularly suitable for amicable resolution in circumstances where there is an obvious solution to the dispute, if the respondent is willing to engage in the process. In this regard, the DPC had regard to:

- a. The relationship between the Data Subject and Respondent (being, in this case, an individual consumer and a service provider); and
 - b. The nature of the complaint (in this case, an unsuccessful attempt by the Data Subject to exercise their data subject rights).
6. While not relevant to the assessment that the DPC is required to carry out pursuant to Section 109(4) of the 2018 Act, the DPC also had regard to EDPB Guidelines 06/2022 on the practical implementation of amicable settlements Version 2.0, adopted on 12 May 2022 ("**Document 06/2022**"), and considered that:
- a. the possible conclusion of the complaint by way of amicable resolution would not hamper the ability of the supervisory authorities to maintain the high level of protection that the GDPR seeks to create; and that
 - b. such a conclusion, in this case, would likely carry advantages for the Data Subject, whose rights under the GDPR would be vindicated swiftly, as well as for the controller, who would be provided the opportunity to bring its behaviour into compliance with the GDPR.

Amicable Resolution

- 7. The DPC engaged with both the Data Subject (via the Recipient SA) and Respondent in relation to the subject matter of the complaint. Further to that engagement, it was established that the Data Subject's account was suspended due to a violation of the Respondent's Terms of Use and Community Guidelines. Following this suspension, the Respondent retained the Data Subject's personal data in line with its data retention policy.
- 8. In its reply to the DPC, the Respondent advised it conducted a fresh review of the Data Subject's suspension. Following this review, the Respondent asserted that due to the nature of the violation by the Data Subject, it was not in a position to lift the suspension of the account. The Respondent further advised that it had deleted the majority of the Data Subject's personal data, and only retained certain personal data in line with its data retention policy. In the circumstances, the Respondent agreed to provide more information to the Data Subject in relation to its practices.
- 9. On 29 August 2024, the DPC's issued to the Recipient SA for onward transmission to the Data Subject, a letter outlining the information provided by the Respondent, including the deletion dates of the remaining personal data. In its correspondence to the Data Subject, the DPC requested that the Data Subject notify it, within a specified timeframe, if they were not satisfied with the information provided by the Respondent, so that the DPC could take further action. The Recipient SA confirmed to the DPC that they issued this correspondence to the Data Subject on 1 September 2024.

10. On 7 October 2024, the Recipient SA informed the DPC that the Data Subject had responded with additional queries in relation to the specific data retained. The DPC engaged further with the Respondent to address the additional queries raised by the Data Subject.
11. On 11 October 2024, the Respondent provided further information to clarify the specific data retained, and the legal basis relied for the retention of that data, as raised by the Data Subject. As part of the amicable resolution process, the DPC wrote to the Data Subject on the same date, via the Recipient SA, with the response provided by the Respondent. In its correspondence to the Data Subject, the DPC requested that the Data Subject notify it, within a specified timeframe, if they were not satisfied with the information provided by the Respondent, so that the DPC could take further action.
12. The Recipient SA confirmed to the DPC that they issued this correspondence to the Data Subject on 16 October 2024.
13. On 15 November 2024, the Recipient SA confirmed to the DPC, that no response had been received from the Data Subject.
14. On 26 November 2024, and in light of the foregoing, the DPC wrote to the Recipient SA noting that the DPC considered the complaint to have been amicably resolved and withdrawn in accordance with section 109(3) of the Act and that it would conclude the case and inform the Respondent.
15. In circumstances where the subject matter of the complaint has been amicably resolved, in full, the complaint, by virtue of Section 109(3) of the 2018 Act, is deemed to have been withdrawn by the Data Subject.

Confirmation of Outcome

16. For the purpose of Document 06/2022, the DPC confirms that:
 - a. The complaint, in its entirety, has been amicably resolved between the parties concerned;
 - b. The agreed resolution is such that the object of the complaint no longer exists; and
 - c. Having consulted with the supervisory authorities concerned on the information set out above, as required by Document 06/2022 the DPC has now closed off its file in this matter.
17. If dissatisfied with the outcome recorded herein, the parties have the right to an effective remedy by way of an application for judicial review, by the Irish High Court, of the process applied by the DPC in the context of the within complaint.

Signed for and on behalf of the DPC:

Elizabeth M.

Deputy Commissioner
Data Protection Commission