## BAYERISCHES LANDESAMT FÜR DATENSCHUTZAUFSICHT Data Protection Authority of Bavaria for the Private Sector



Our reference: LDA-1085.1-10890/19-F

Reference Sweden: IMY-2024-17491

IMI Art. 61: 117138

IMI draft decision: 776936

Controller:

On the basis of the draft decision of the Swedish Integritetsskyddsmyndigheten (SE DPA) No. 776936, the Data Protection Authority of Bavaria for the Private Sector (BayLDA) pursuant to Article 60(8) of the GDPR issues the following

## **Final Decision:**

The complaint is rejected.

## Justification:

The complaint was received by the BayLDA on 29 July 2019 and was forwarded via IMI to the SE DPA as the lead data protection supervisory authority for the controller.

In May 2025 the SE DPA submitted the draft decision no. 776936 to the concerned supervisory authorities with the following contents:

## **Decision of the Swedish Authority for Privacy Protection**

The Swedish Data Protection Authority (IMY) has received a complaint from you against the complaint has been transmitted to us by the supervisory authority of the country where you lodged your complaint (Germany) in accordance with the provisions of the Regulation on cooperation in cross-border processing. IMY has handled the complaint as lead supervisory authority under Article 56 GDPR.

IMY shall process complaints about incorrect processing of personal data and, where appropriate, investigate the subject matter of the complaint (Article 57(1)(f) GDPR, usually abbreviated GDPR).

On 31 January 2025, IMY asked the German Data Protection Authority to forward a letter to you. The letter asked whether your complaint was still relevant. You were informed that a failure to reply within the two-week deadline could lead to the closure of the case by IMY.

The German Data Protection Authority has tried to contact you by post on two occasions but the letters have not been delivered successfully. They have also tried to contact you once by email but have not received a response. In the light of the above, IMY finds no reason to believe that the complaint is still relevant and therefore no reason to investigate the complaint further.

Case closed.

As the concerned supervisory authorities (including BayLDA) did not object to this draft decision, the BayLDA hereby adopts this draft decision as final decision in accordance with Article 60(8) of the GDPR.